

Books, Computers, and the Law

James Grimmelmann

ICPL

21 July 2010

The *first* book scanning revolution

- Consider the printing press
- First use: printization
- Transforms our relationship to text
- Transforms our preservation strategies

Orphan works

- Some books are in copyright...
 - ...but have unfindable owners
- These books aren't doing anybody any good
- They are a failure of the copyright system
 - Reform legislation has so far gone nowhere

Some obvious benefits

- Fair use no longer turning on one die roll
- The universal library, this time for real
 - Unusually solicitous of the disadvantaged
- New revenue source for authors & publishers
 - For some books, the only way?

Civil procedure

- How good was the notice?
 - Especially outside of the U.S.
- Who are these authors and publishers?
 - Do they speak for, e.g. academic authors, who want open access rather than \$\$\$?
- What kind of a class action is this ...



- “© is opt-in” is dogma in most of the world
 - (Perhaps not the best rule, but ...)
 - Technically, © owners are “agreeing”
 - But U.S. class action law is *sui generis*
- Lots of controversy over publishing-industry details

Information policy

- Huge centralization of books in Google
- Libraries burnt by journal pricing
- Reader privacy
- Equitable access, pro and con
- Is Google a library?

Antitrust

- Is the settlement a price-fixing scheme?
 - Algorithmic pricing to mimic the market?
 - New products otherwise unavailable?
- What about the orphans?
 - Good luck trying to compete!

The heart of the deal

- The settlement makes many orphaned books available again *because* it's opt-out
 - If you like books, that's good
 - But is this a legitimate use of a class action?
- Put another way, is this a job for Congress?
 - What else is now on the table for courts?

Closing thought

- 0 and ∞ make sense, but 1?
- If we think orphan works are valueless, then they should be public-domain
- If we think these rights are worth respecting, then they should be respected
- I'm still looking for the limiting principle

Discussion