

Future Trends in Interface IP

James Grimmelmann

October 27, 2011

Three big trends

- ❖ Software patents
- ❖ The America Invents Act (“patent reform”)
- ❖ The rise of platforms

1: Software patents

Software patents

- ❖ A high-controversy, high-uncertainty topic
 - ❖ Previous Supreme Court cases never really settled the question
- ❖ In the last decade, lawsuits mushroomed (e.g. NTP v. RIM)
 - ❖ Strong sense that patents are a key indicator of startup value
 - ❖ Strong sense that patents are a key threat to startups
 - ❖ Software patent quality crisis
- ❖ The stage was set ...

Bilski v. Kappos

- ❖ Patent to manage commodity risk with hedging transactions
 - ❖ Federal Circuit rejects using “machine or transformation” test
- ❖ Supreme Court also rejects, holding that it is an “abstract idea”
 - ❖ It also says the machine or transformation test isn’t “intended to be an exhaustive or exclusive test,” only “a useful and important clue”
 - ❖ I have no better idea what this means than you do

Practical upshot

- ❖ The actual situation is changing much less than one would expect
- ❖ It remains possible to obtain utility patents that claim software
 - ❖ And this is unlikely to change
- ❖ What the law does shape is how the claims are written
 - ❖ This is mostly a matter of clever drafting
 - ❖ But has effects at the margin
- ❖ Business method patents are on shakier ground

2: Patent Reform

From “first to invent” to “first to file”

- ✧ Currently, the U.S. awards patents to the first person to invent
 - ✧ Defining “invent” is messy in contested cases
 - ✧ There is a one-year shot clock from the first public use
- ✧ The rest of the world awards patents to the first person to file
 - ✧ And starting March 16, 2013, the U.S. will be, too
 - ✧ Technically, it’s “first inventor to file”

But ... there's still a shot clock

- ❖ Publicly disclosing the invention (e.g. by putting it on sale or publishing it) has an downside and an upside:
 - ❖ Downside: file within a year or be forever barred
 - ❖ Upside: someone else who files after you disclose loses to you
- ❖ In effect, public disclosure establishes priority, but also commits you
 - ❖ (Watch out for non-public disclosure, e.g. a secret commercial use, which starts the clock but doesn't provide priority)

What does this mean?

- ❖ First-to-file dials up the pressure to file quickly
 - ❖ Lest someone else have the same idea and beat you to it
 - ❖ Lest someone else publicly disclose first and pre-empt you
- ❖ But it also means that rushing to market is a viable strategy
 - ❖ If you hit the shelves before someone else files, they're barred
 - ❖ And you have up to a year to get your own application in
- ❖ This is all very complicated ... when in doubt, consult a real lawyer!

3: Platforms

Here come the platforms

- ❖ You know about Windows, OS X, Linux, the web, etc.
- ❖ But iOS, (w / App Store), Android (w / Market), Kindle (w / Appstore) change the nature of the innovator's relationship to the platform:
 - ❖ Permission required to join the platform
 - ❖ Permission required to stay there
 - ❖ What does this mean for the inventor / designer?

Issue #1: additional constraints

- ✧ Standardized interface elements and behaviors:
 - ✧ Most stringent with Apple
 - ✧ Constrains space within which you innovate
 - ✧ Limits (but does not eliminate) protection available
- ✧ Functionality / policy limits
- ✧ Commercial limits can be stifling – and can change!

Issue #2: new IP enforcer

- ❖ Apple will enforce trademarks in the App Store by kicking you out
 - ❖ And *Apple* will decide whether you're an infringer
 - ❖ This is, of course, a double-edged sword
- ❖ Be alert:
 - ❖ To terms and conditions
 - ❖ To complaint / response procedures
 - ❖ Is there a Plan B?